

## QUALITY REVIEW OF STRENGTHS ASSESSMENT

Consumer's name \_\_\_\_\_ Date reviewed \_\_\_\_\_

Case Manager's Name \_\_\_\_\_

YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Complete and thorough – each life domain has rich and detailed information
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Individualised and specific – gives a clear picture of who the person is. (Here's a good test. Blank out the name and make copies for everyone on the team. Team members should be able to readily identify this person by the information provided)
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Clear indication of the person's involvement in the assessment – signature, personal comments, information written by the person, written in the person's own words
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Used in an ongoing manner – updated regularly upon meeting with the person (weekly for the first few meetings, at least monthly after that)
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Includes natural resources (as opposed to only formal resources) in <i>each</i> area
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	The individual's wants and desires are listed, prioritised and written in the person's own language (vs. unprofessional jargon)
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Reflects cultural, spiritual, ethnic and/or racial information that holds meaning for the person
YES	SOMEWHAT	NO	Reflects consumer's skills, talents, accomplishments and abilities – what they know about, care about, have a passion for each life domain

Taken from - The Strengths Model: Case Management with People with Psychiatric Disabilities  
Second Edition Charles A. Rapp & Richard J. Goscha